

## The 8<sup>th</sup> International Conference of

### Euro Asia CIVIL ENGINEERING FORUM 2022

"ADVANCED & INNOVATIVE TECHNOLOGY FOR SUSTAINABLE INFRASTRUCTURE"

## Submitted Abstract Technical Sessions 12-13 October 2022

#### Table of Content

1		Keynote Speech (KN)6
	1.1	KN-097 Optimized processes for the production of performance concrete constituents based on agricultural wastes
	1.2	KN-098 Seismic monitoring of industrial facilities with digital building models and sensor technologies
	1.3	KN-100 Comfort-based criteria for evaluating seismic strengthening performance of building7
	1.4	KN-106 Potential of ultra-high-performance concrete (UHPC) for refurbishment of bridges
	1.5	KN-107 FIBRADIKE, a novel distributed fiber optic monitoring system for dikes and earth dams
2		Structural Engineering (ST)
	2.1	ST-021 An integrated approach for the strength evaluation on RC beams by the combination of hammer test and compression test
	2.2	ST-022 Improvement of structural performance of RC beams with external reinforcement method: an experimental investigation
	2.3	ST-023 Comparison of flexural beam behavior due to monotonic loading and loading- unloading scheme
	2.4	ST-035 Proposed maximum shear reinforcement vs confinement
	2.5	ST-039 Bond strength between reinforcement and high volume fly ash-self compacting concrete (HVFA-SCC)
	2.6	ST-043 Numerical modelling of reinforced concrete gravity retaining walls under seismic loads
	2.7	ST-047 The effects of various parameters in sensitivity analysis of plain concrete beam using rigid body spring model
	2.8	ST-050 Effect of ultra high performance fiber reinforced concrete (UHPFRC) layer thickness as a strengthening material for reinforced concrete beams
	2.9	ST-060 Flexural strength and drift ratio of reinforced concrete beams with longitudinal square hole
	2.10	ST-068 Application of modified partial capacity design on six-story L-shaped reinforced concrete buildings with variations on elastic columns configurations
	2.11	ST-078 Nonlinear finite element analyses of reinforced concrete beam-column joints subjected to cyclic loading
	2.12	ST-082 Shear strength predictions of reinforced concrete two-way thick slabs: comparison between experimental and analytical studies
	2.13	ST-083 Nonlinear analysis of interior and exterior beam-column connections under reversed cyclic loading

2.14	ST-084 Embodied carbon dioxide of fly ash based geo polymer concrete
2.15	ST-086 Applying moment redistribution and externally bonded FRP in beams for seismic strengthening of RC frames
2.16	ST-090 Structural evaluation of existing buildings using surface ground motions
2.17	ST-091 Seismic performance assessment for RC buildings using pushover method and dynamic analysist
2.18	ST-094 Mechanical behaviours of cellular lightweight concrete using finite element analysis
2.19	ST-096 Image processing analysis on a concrete defect inspection lists: state of the art literature review
2.20	ST-104 Shared vibration absorbers for connected SDOF structures
	Construction Material (MA)
3.1	MA-012 Properties evaluation of cold mix asphalt based on compaction energy and mixture gradation
3.2	MA-019 Study of self compacting geopolymer concrete (SCGC) haunch beams at monotonic loading and loading-unloading scheme
3.3	MA-029 High early strength foamed concrete design for structural precast concrete 23
3.4	MA-049 The effect of polypropylene fiber on the mechanical properties between hybrid fiber geopolymer concrete and geopolymer concrete in elevated temperature
3.5	MA-054 The influence of low alkaline activator on the compressive strength and workability of geopolymer concrete
3.6	MA-055 The effect of asphalt emulsion type on the characteristics of cold asphalt emulsion mixtures
3.7	MA-056 Comparison of asphalt concrete-wearing course (AC-WC) characteristics using 60/70 asphalt penetration and Elvaloy modified asphalt
3.8	MA-059 Analysis of geopolymer mortar compressive strength based on fly ash and GGBFS as patch repair material
3.9	MA-066 The use of cationic rapid setting emulsion cold asphalt mixtures for appropriate road rehabilitation
3.10	MA-067 The characteristic of very high-performance concrete (VHPC)
3.11	MA-073 Evaluation of bonding performance of ultra high-performance concrete with fly ash content as overlay on normal strength concrete
3.12	MA-076 Ratio between flexural strength to compressive strength geopolymer concrete
3.13	MA-081 Compressive strength, porosity, and sorptivity of blended palm oil fuel ash (POFA) concrete containing silica fume in peat water

# 3.5 MA-054 The influence of low alkaline activator on the compressive strength and workability of geopolymer concrete

#### Eri Setia Romadhon<sup>1</sup>, Antonius<sup>2</sup>, Sumirin<sup>3</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Doctoral student of Civil Engineering, Department of Civil Engineering, Universitas Islam Sultan Agung (UNISSULA), Semarang, Indonesia, <u>eriromadhon63@gmail.com</u>

 <sup>2</sup>Professor of Civil Engineering, Department of Civil Engineering, Universitas Islam Sultan Agung (UNISSULA), Semarang, Indonesia, <u>antonius@unissula.ac.id</u>
 <sup>3</sup>Lecturer of Civil Engineering, Department of Civil Engineering, Universitas Islam Sultan Agung (UNISSULA), Semarang, Indonesia, <u>sumirinms@gmail.com</u>

Abstract. This paper presents the results of research on geopolymer concrete using a reasonably low alkaline activator. The key objective concerning this research is to investigate whether a low alkaline activator is still qualified to produce a concrete compressive strength that fulfills the structural requirements. The experimental program is carried out by making geopolymer concrete specimens, where the variables reviewed represented the amount of alkaline activator (4% and 5%), the ratio of alkaline activator to fly ash (AAS/FA) was 0.35, 0.4, 0.5, 0.6. The treatment temperatures of the specimens were room temperature (33°C) and 60°C. The experimental results of the compressive strength test have shown that the compressive strength of low alkaline geopolymer activator concrete still meets the requirements as a structural material (i.e. Indonesian Concrete Code). The weight of the resulting specimen is included in the category of normal weight concrete. The workability of geopolymer concrete with low alkaline activator tends to be better if the ratio AAS/FA also increases, but the compressive strength of concrete tends to decrease.

# 3.6 MA-055 The effect of asphalt emulsion type on the characteristics of cold asphalt emulsion mixtures

#### P S Wulandari<sup>1</sup>, K Lovrecia<sup>1</sup>, F M Santosa<sup>1</sup>, G A Welerubun<sup>1</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Civil Engineering Department, Petra Christian University, Siwalankerto 121-131, Surabaya, Indonesia

**Abstract**. The need for road infrastructure continues to increase affecting the increase of hot asphalt mixtures. Hot asphalt requires a lot of energy to use because it goes through a heating process, so Cold Emulsion Asphalt Mixture (CAEM) is widely studied to be an eco-friendly alternative to hot asphalt mixtures. This study used a type of mixture IV Asphalt Emulsion Mixture with 4 types of emulsified asphalt, which are Cationic Slow Setting-1 hard (CSS-1h), Cationic



The 8th International Conference of Euro Asia CIVIL ENGINEERING Forum 2022 Switzerland - Indonesia

# CERTIFICATE

presented to

Eri Setia Romadhon

## as PAPER PRESENTER

with the topic:

THE INFLUENCE OF LOW ALKALINE ACTIVATOR ON THE COMPRESSIVE STRENGTH AND WORKABILITY OF GEOPOLYMER CONCRETE



Yogyakarta, 12-13 October 2022

**DR.-ING. AGUSTINA KIKY ANGGRAINI** Chairwoman of Technical Sessions



U N I K A S S E L V E R S I T 'A' T

Nakamo

PROF. DR.-ING. HARIANTO HARDJASAPUTRA Founding Chairman of EACEF

#### **PAPER • OPEN ACCESS**

# The influence of low alkaline activator on the compressive strength and workability of geopolymer concrete

To cite this article: Eri Setia Romadhon et al 2023 IOP Conf. Ser.: Earth Environ. Sci. 1195 012027

View the article online for updates and enhancements.

#### You may also like

- Increasing the Compressive Strength of Concrete Using PPC Arusmalem Ginting
- <u>The Effect of Variations of Fly Ash Filling</u> <u>Materials on Porous Concrete Using Local</u> <u>Aggregates from South Borneo</u> E Purnamasari, A Gazali and M B Januar
- <u>Microstructure of High C3A Portland Slag</u> <u>Cement Pastes, Modified with Accelerating</u> <u>Admixtures for Concrete</u> Jan Pizon and Beata Lazniewska-Piekarczyk

### 244th ECS Meeting

Gothenburg, Sweden • Oct 8 – 12, 2023

Early registration pricing ends September 11

Register and join us in advancing science!

Learn More & Register Now!

This content was downloaded from IP address 203.148.84.66 on 09/08/2023 at 08:40



# The influence of low alkaline activator on the compressive

#### strength and workability of geopolymer concrete

#### Eri Setia Romadhon<sup>1\*</sup>, Antonius<sup>2</sup>, Sumirin<sup>3</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Doctoral student of Civil Engineering, Department of Civil Engineering, Universitas Islam Sultan Agung (UNISSULA), Semarang, Indonesia <sup>2</sup>Professor of Civil Engineering, Department of Civil Engineering, Universitas Islam Sultan Agung (UNISSULA), Semarang, Indonesia <sup>3</sup>Lecturer of Civil Engineering, Department of Civil Engineering, Universitas Islam Sultan Agung (UNISSULA), Semarang, Indonesia,

#### \*Email: eriromadhon63@gmail.com

Abstract. This paper presents the results of research on geopolymer concrete using a reasonably low alkaline activator. The key objective concerning this research is to investigate whether a low alkaline activator is still qualified to produce a concrete compressive strength that fulfills the structural requirements. The experimental program is carried out by making geopolymer concrete specimens, where the variables reviewed represented the amount of alkaline activator (4% and 5%), the ratio of alkaline activator to fly ash (AAS/FA) was 0.35, 0.4, 0.5, 0.6. The treatment temperatures of the specimens were room temperature (33°C) and 60°C. The experimental results of the compressive strength test have shown that the compressive strength of low alkaline geopolymer activator concrete still meets the requirements as a structural material (i.e. Indonesian Concrete Code). The weight of the resulting specimen is included in the category of normal weight concrete. The workability of geopolymer concrete with low alkaline activator tends to be better if the ratio AAS/FA also increases, but the compressive strength of concrete tends to decrease.

#### 1. Introduction

#### 1.1. Background

Geopolymer concrete requires a suitable mix to obtain the desired strength and performance [1-3]. The application of geopolymer concrete are being developed on the structural elements [4-5]. Li [6-7] revealed there are three geopolymer concrete design methods in the world that are currently developing, namely performance-based methods, statistical modelling methods and target strength methods.

According to Diaz et al. [8], geopolymer concrete has a specific gravity of 1890 - 2371 kg/m<sup>3</sup> and a compressive strength of 10-80 MPa, modulus of elasticity 6812 - 42878 MPa. According to Harjito et al. [9-10], the use of alkaline activator 6% NaOH 14M molarity, fly ash with a treatment temperature of 60°C, the compressive strength reaches 28-66 MPa. Alkaline activator 6.5% with NaOH 8-10 M molarity, fly ash with room temperature treatment obtained compressive strength of 7.5-45 MPa [11-14]. The use of alkaline activator of 10.8% NaOH 16M, fly ash with a treatment temperature of 60°C resulted the compressive strength reached 30-60 MPa [15-18]. Pavithra [19] used an alkaline activator

Content from this work may be used under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution 3.0 licence. Any further distribution of this work must maintain attribution to the author(s) and the title of the work, journal citation and DOI. Published under licence by IOP Publishing Ltd 1

The 8th International Conference of Euro Asia Civil E	ngineering Forum 2022	IOP Publishing
IOP Conf. Series: Earth and Environmental Science	1195 (2023) 012027	doi:10.1088/1755-1315/1195/1/012027

8.5% NaOH 16M, fly ash with a treatment temperature of 60°C the compressive strength reached 23– 53 MPa. Herwani et al. [20] used an alkaline activator 8.2% NaOH 10-14M, fly ash with room temperature resulted of 16-30 MPa for compressive strength. Reddy et al. [21] used alkaline activator of 8.5% NaOH 14M molarity, fly ash with the addition of GBBS (ground granulated blast furnace slag) and a treatment temperature of 60°C the compressive strength reaches 32–66 MPa.

#### 1.2. Objective

Alkaline activator is one of the building blocks on geopolymer concrete which is relatively expensive compared to other building materials, so it is necessary to reduce the use of alkaline activator to be more economical. Until now, the design of low-alkaline activator geopolymer concrete mixes has been undeveloped yet. Based on the description above, this research was conducted on geopolymer concrete using low amounts of alkaline activator, namely 4% and 5%, with the primary objective of recognizing to what extent the compressive strength of concrete can be achieved.

#### 1.3. Research significance

Research on the compressive strength of concrete and workability of geopolymer concrete has been recently performed by using an alkaline activator (6-15%). Alkaline activator is identified to be the most expensive material in geopolymer concrete, therefore it is necessary to maximize efficiency with the use of a minimum of alkaline activator, but the strength of concrete is however maintained as a structural concrete material. Research on geopolymer concrete with low alkaline activator (4% and 5%) including its design is undeveloped at present.

#### 2. Experimental program

#### 2.1. Materials and test variables

This research is an experimental research conducted in a laboratory. The standard of the specimens for testing follows Indonesian National Standard or SNI [22] and ASTM [23]. Fly ash typically obtain from water-powered plant of Lontar Banten (PLTU) including type of F with SiO<sub>2</sub>, Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> and Fe<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> levels of 79.56%, all of which are more than 70%. NaOH in the form of white flakes and Sodium silicate in the form of a clear grey gel. Based on the level of ease of application, in this study, the target strength design method was chosen with 4% and 5% alkaline activator. The molarity of the 14M Sodium hydroxide and the Sodium silicate or Sodium hydroxide ratio is 2.5.

Alkaline solution fly ash ratio activator varies by 0.35, 0.4, 0.5 0.6. The composition of the ratio of alkaline activator or fly ash (AAS/FA), Fly ash, Sodium Hydroxide (NaOH), Sodium Silicate (Na<sub>2</sub>SiO<sub>3</sub>) fine and coarse aggregates are presented in Tables 1 and 2.

#### 2.2. Procedure for making test objects.

This study begins by calculating the weight of the geopolymer concrete stacking material according to the compositions set out in Tables 1 and 2. Next, the manufacture of sodium hydroxide solution according to the molarity of 14M by adding 560 grams of sodium hydroxide into 1,000 grams of water, until the temperature drops to the same as the room's temperature and then stored in a bottle and tightly closed to prevent carbonization.

AAS/FA	0.35	0.4	0.5	0.6
FA (kg/m <sup>3</sup> )	286	250	200	167
NaOH (kg/m <sup>3</sup> )	29	29	29	29
$Na_2SiO_3$ (kg/m <sup>3</sup> )	71	71	71	71
Fine aggregate (kg)	728	744	766	782
Coarse aggregate (kg)	1,246	1,274	1,313	1,339
Water (liter)	35	35	35	35

**Table 1.** Composition of 4% alkaline activator geopolymer concrete.

Table 2. Composition of 5% alkaline activator geopolymer concrete.							
AAS/FA	0.35	0.4	0.5	0.6			
FA $(kg/m^3)$	343	300	240	200			
NaOH (kg/m <sup>3</sup> )	34	34	34	34			
Na <sub>2</sub> SiO <sub>3</sub> (kg/m <sup>3</sup> )	86	86	86	86			
Fine aggregate (kg)	690	709	736	755			
Coarse aggregate (kg)	1,182	1,215	1,261	1,292			
Water (liter)	34	30	30	26			

The next step is to produce alkaline activator by mixing the sodium silicate and sodium hydroxide in a ratio of 2.5 which was made the day before the manufacture of the test object. Then the mixture of fly ash, fine aggregate and coarse aggregate is stirred until evenly mixed and put into a cement mixer. Subsequently added with alkaline activator solution. After completely smooth, the mixture is taken and a slump test was performed.

Finally, the mixture from the cement mixer is put into a cube mold with a size of 100x100x100 mm, after the test object is opened from the cube mold, it is packaged in plastic so that there is no excessive evaporation. The specimens were treated for 28 days at room temperature. In calculating the compressive strength, the results of the compressive test from the cube specimen above are converted to produce a compressive strength equivalent to the results of the compressive test of a cylinder specimen with a diameter of 150 mm and a height of 300 mm at the age about 28 days ( $f_c$ ).

#### 3. Experimental Results and Discussion

#### 3.1. Geopolymer Concrete contains of 4% of alkaline activator

The test specimens and geopolymer concrete tests are shown in Figure 1. Figure 2 shows an example of slump test. The results of the compressive strength and slump value of 4% alkaline activator geopolymer concrete aged 28 days with maintenance temperatures (T) of 33°C and 60°C in common were 12 specimens each. The unit weight and compressive strength of concrete for each AAS/FA ratio are properly presented in Table 3.



Figure 1. Test object and 4% alkaline activator geopolymer concrete.

doi:10.1088/1755-1315/1195/1/012027



(a) Slump test of specimen with alkaline activator 4%, (AAS/FA=0.40)



(a) Slump test of specimen with alkaline activator 4%, (AAS/FA=0.35)

Table 3 shows that the lowest concrete compressive strength is 18.1 MPa and the highest compressive strength is 30.6 MPa. The minimum value of the concrete compressive strength shows that geopolymer concrete with 4% alkaline activator still meets the requirements as structural concrete as required by SNI-2847-2019 [24], which represents a minimum of 17 MPa. As seen on Table 3, the the compressive strength specimens with AAS/FA ratio of 0.4 and 0.35 above 22 MPa. This results meets the requirements as an earthquake-resistant structural material based on Indonesian concrete code (minimum of 21 MPa). Other results from Table 3 above also show that the weight of the specimen is in the range of 2100 to 2400 kg/m<sup>3</sup>, therefore it remains in the category of normal weight concrete. In addition, the resulting slump value shows higher workability if the AAS/FA ratio is higher. The higher the slump value, the average compressive strength of concrete tends to decrease.

Figure 2. Slump test.

The 8th International Conference of Euro Asia Civil E	IOP Publishing	
IOP Conf. Series: Earth and Environmental Science	1195 (2023) 012027	doi:10.1088/1755-1315/1195/1/012027

	Slump (mm)	Unit weight (kg/m <sup>3</sup> )		f'c (MPa)			
AAS/FA		Treatment of T=33°C	Treatment of T=66°C	Treatment of $T=33^{\circ}C$	Average	Treatment of <i>T</i> =66°C	Average
0.6		2,188	2,177	15.9		19.3	
0.6	120	2,234	2,207	18.1	18.1	19.2	20.0
0.6		2,259	2,203	20.1		21.5	
0.5		2,303	2,207	17.5	19.6	27.3	25.4
0.5	100	2,308	2,325	22.4		21.5	
0.5		2,414	2,237	18.9		27.3	
0.4		2,394	2,310	27.2		27.1	
0.4	40	2,367	2,310	27.3	25.6	33.2	29.1
0.4		2,345	2,325	22.3		27.0	
0.35	20	2,341	2,252	27.0		34.2	
0.35		2,409	2,402	30.5	28.8	29.4	30.6
0.35		2,363	2,379	25.6		28.2	

 Table 3. Test results of 4% alkaline activator geopolymer concrete aged 28

day- treatment temperature 33°C and 66°C.

#### 3.2. Geopolymer concrete contains of 5% alkaline activator

The test object and the process of testing the compressive strength of geopolymer concrete with 5% alkaline activator are shown in Figure 3. The results of the compressive test of 5% alkaline activator geopolymer concrete aged 28 days at room temperature (T) of 33°C and 60°C obtain 12 specimens, respectively, are shown in Table 4.



Figure 3. Compressive test of 5% alkaline activator geopolymer concrete aged 28 days.

	Slump (mm)	Unit weight (kg/m <sup>3</sup> )		f'c (MPa)			
AAS/FA		Treatment of T=33°C	Treatment of <i>T</i> =66°C	Treatment of T=33°C	Average	Treatment of <i>T</i> =66°C	Average
0.6		2,350	2,286	14.5		24.1	
0.6	125	2,352	2,378	23.9	18.6	24.3	25.5
0.6		2,415	2,291	17.5		28.7	
0.5		2,330	2,341	27.6		27.8	
0.5	90	2,384	2,265	28.1	27.4	26.5	27.3
0.5		2,402	2,317	26.6		27.8	
0.4		2,394	2,362	29.5		23.7	
0.4	40	2,426	2,390	23.2	28.1	31.9	30.4
0.4		2,366	2,414	31.7		37.1	
0.35	25	2,387	2,350	30.8		32.3	
0.35		2,363	2,370	27.4	30.1	31.3	34.0
0.35		2,415	2,401	33.3		38.4	

**Table 4:** Test results of 5% alkaline activator geopolymer concrete aged 28 days with treatment at33°C and 60°C.

Similar to the results of the compressive strength test of 4% alkaline activator geopolymer concrete, the compressive strength of geopolymer concrete with 5% alkaline activator shown in Table 4 provides a compressive strength of a minimum of 18.6 MPa, and a maximum of 38.4 MPa. These results indicate the resulting geopolymer concrete still meets the requirements when implemented as a structural material. Except specimens with AAS/FA ratio of 0.6, the average compressive strength of other specimens is higher than 21 MPa, therefore it meets the requirements as an earthquake-resistant structural material. The unit weight of the specimens produced is additionally included in the normal weight category (2200-2400 kg/m<sup>3</sup>).

Furthermore, Figures 4 and 5 show the comparison of the average compressive strength of geopolymer concrete with 4% and 5% alkaline activator between the specimens treated at  $33^{\circ}$ C and  $60^{\circ}$ C. In general, from the two figures, it can be seen that the compressive strength of geopolymer concrete with a temperature treatment of  $60^{\circ}$ C has tends higher compressive strength.

#### 4. Conclusion

Geopolymer concrete that adequately fulfills the compressive strength requirements for concrete structures remains capable of being produced despite the use of low alkaline activator (4% and 5%). Likewise, the weight of the geopolymer concrete produced still meets the criteria of normal weight concrete. The workability of geopolymer concrete tends to be better if the AAS/FA ratio also increases but the compressive strength tends to decrease. The manufacture of geopolymer concrete with a treatment temperature of 60°C will reliably produce a higher and more optimal compressive strength when compared to the manufacture of specimens with a treatment temperature of 33°C. From the results of this study, it is recommended that further research on geoplopymer concrete with low alkali activator, namely its mechanical behavior comprehensively be carried out.



**Figure 4**. Comparison of the average compressive strength of 4% alkaline activator geopolymer concrete aged 28 days at room temperature 33°C and 60°C.



Figure 5. Comparison of the average compressive strength of 5% alkaline activator geopolymer concrete aged 28 days, room temperature 33°C and 60°C.

#### Acknowledgment

The experimental program in this research funded by Faculty of Engineering, Universitas Jayabaya, Jakarta, Indonesia. The supports received for this research successfully is gratefully acknowledged.

IOP Conf. Series: Earth and Environmental Science 1195

- [1] Davidovits J 1991 J. Therm. Anal. 37 1633.
- [2] Diaz-Loya EI and Allouche EN, Vaidya S 2011 ACI Materials Journal 108 300.
- [3] Ding Y, GuoDai J and JunShi C 2016 Construction and Building Materials 127 68.
- [4] Ganesan N, Abraham R, Deepa Raj S and Sas D 2014 *Construction and Building Materials*, **73**, 326.
- [5] Muslikh, Anggraini NK, Hardjito D and Antonius 2018 Matec Web of Conf. 159 01018.
- [6] Li N, Shi C, Wang Q, Zhang Z and Ou Z 2017 Materials and Structures 50 178.
- [7] Li N, Shi C and Zhang Z 2019 Composite Part B: Engineering 171 34.
- [8] Diaz-Loya EI and Allouche EN and Cahoy D 2013 Geopolymer Binder Systems STP 1566 119.
- [9] Hardjito D, Wallah SE, Sumajouw DMJ and Rangan BV 2005 Australian Journal of Structural Engineering, 6 77.
- [10] Hardjito D 2005 Studies on Fly Ash-Based Geopolymer Concrete Curtin University of Technology.
- [11] Ekaputri JJ, Junaedi S and Wijaya 2017 Procedia Engineering 171 572.
- [12] Chindaprasirt P, Chareerat T, Sirivivatnanon V 2007 Cement & Concrete Composites 29 224.
- [13] Arham A, Bayu A, Ramadhan R and Maricar S 2019 J. of the Civil Engineering Forum **5** 161.
- [14] Cornelis R, Priyosulistyo H, Satyarno I and Rochmadi 2019 *MATEC Web of Conferences* **258** 0100 SCESCM.
- [15] Ferdous MW, Kayali O and Khennane A 2013 Fourth Asia-Pacific Conference on FRP in Structures (APFIS) 11-13 December, Melbourne, Australia.
- [16] Riahi S, Nazari A, Zaarei D, Khalaj G, Bohlool H, Kaykha MM 2012 Materials and Design 37 443.
- [17] Ling Y, Wang K, Wang X, Hua S 2019 Construction and Building Materials 228 116763.
- [18] Ding Y, GuoDai, J, JunShi, C 2016 Construction and Building Materials, 127, 68.
- [19] Pavithra P, Reddy MS, Dinakar P, Rao BH, Satpathy BK and Mohanty AN 2016 J. of Cleaner Production 133, 117.
- [20] Herwani, Pane I, Imran I and Budiono B 2018 MATEC Web of Conf. 147 01004.
- [21] Reddy MS, Dinakar P and Rao BH 2018 J. of Building Engineering 20 712.
- [22] Indonesian National Standard 2012 Procedures of Mixed Selection for Normal Concrete, Heavy Concrete and Mass Concrete SNI 7656:2012 (in Indonesian).
- [23] ASTM C 39–94 1996 *Test Method for Compressive Strength of Cylindrical Concrete Specimens* Annual Books of ASTM Standards.
- [24] Indonesian National Standard 2019 *Requirements of Structural Concrete for Building* SNI-2847-2019 (in Indonesian).