

# [<https://journals.aserspublishing.eu/jemt/>] Decision on " Investment and Liability on Oil Palm Land Use and Environmental Sustainability "

Kotak Masuk x



**jemt.ORG**  
<editor@jemt.org>

19 Jan 13.40 (3 hari yang lalu)

kepada

Terjemahkan pesan

Nonaktifkan untuk: Inggris

As one of the reviewers for the submission, " Investment and Liability on Oil Palm Land Use and Environmental Sustainability," to Journal of Environmental Management and Tourism, I am sending you the reviews and editorial decision sent to the author of this piece. Thank you again for your important contribution to this process.

**jemt.ORG** [editor@jemt.org](mailto:editor@jemt.org)

Journal of Environmental Management and Tourism

**Editor in Chief:** PhD Ramona PIRVU, University of Craiova, Romania

**Co-Editor:** PhD Cristina BARBU, Spiru Haret University, Romania

**Journal's official e-mails:** asers.jemt@gmail.com and jemt@aserspublishing.eu

**Online ISSN:** 2068-7729

We have reached a decision regarding your submission to Journal of Environmental Management and Tourism, "" Investment and Liability on Oil Palm Land Use and Environmental Sustainability".

Our decision is to: [accepted](#) the paper based on the reviewers' comments

---

Reviewer A:

Originality::  
Acceptable

Scope of paper::  
Relevant to JEMT

Related work::  
Acceptable

Language::  
Language is clearly

References::  
none

Additional comments along the following lines: originality, literature review, methodology, evaluation of results, research implications, quality of communication, etc.:  
methodology is standable

---

---

Reviewer B:

Paper length::  
Originality::  
Scope of paper::  
Related work::  
Language::  
References::  
Additional comments along the following lines: originality, literature review, methodology, evaluation of results, research implications, quality of communication, etc.:  
see attachment 6306

---

---

Reviewer C:

Paper length::  
Quite short

Originality::

Nil

Scope of paper::

Relevant

Related work::

-

Language::

As for the style, grammar, and typographical layout, there should be some minor corrections: some mistakes with the articles, word agreement, verb structures, white spaces between the paragraphs.

References::

The referencing doesn't comply with the APA style requirements.

Additional comments along the following lines: originality, literature review, methodology, evaluation of results, research implications, quality of communication, etc.:

The topic of the research is important to the field, but as it has been mostly self-referenced, moreover, it doesn't scope the other foreign researchers' suggestions.

The language and structure need to be corrected in the text.

The Abstract have the proper structure of a summary of the paper: background, objectives, methods, results, and conclusions, so it is hard to define the key findings in the abstract.

As the abstract should summarize the study, it have the main aims of the study, yet it has the appropriate length.

The Introduction section has a lack of research methodology structure; therefore, it is difficult to follow the main flow of a study.

The Introduction section should be organized in a logical way to present the broad topic, then academic and practical importance. After that, there should be summarizing the background literature which made this research appear – at this stage, it would be useful to introduce the appropriate citation to prove the points. Based on the inconsistencies and controversies in the field, the main contribution of the study should be explained. The research objectives haven't been introduced here, therefore it is difficult to follow the study flow.

The paper have the methods of research, while the topic allows implementing the research with further statistical methods of proving the results. It doesn't include the references to previously published methodology. The description of the methodology doesn't conclude proper methodology – what is described is the aims of the study. Thus, this section is not included in the paper. There is also no supplementary material.

The paper follow the research methodology structure in the

sections Results and Discussion. The Results section doesn't summarize the data collected for a study in the form of descriptive statistics as the main findings of the study.

The Discussion section d state the principal findings as this is required according to the methodology of research

The Conclusion section contain a final judgment on the importance and significance of the findings in terms of their implications and impact, an indication of the limitations of the study. There are also no recommendations for future work or recommendations for policy changes. see attachment 6167

-----

-----

Reviewer D:

Paper length::

Originality::

Scope of paper::

Related work::

Language::

References::

Additional comments along the following lines: originality, literature review, methodology, evaluation of results, research implications, quality of communication, etc.:

see attachment 6439

-----

-----