



October 13, 2019

Author:

Zulki Zulkifli Noor¹, Jaya Jaya¹

¹ Universitas Jayabaya. Jakarta. Indonesia

Thanks for your submission of paper to *JOURNAL OF POLITICS AND LAW*.

We have the pleasure to inform you that your manuscript has been accepted for publication. It will be published on Vol. 12, No. 07 (2019).

Title: **LEGAL PROTECTION OF HOUSING CONSUMERS RELATING TO THE CANCELLATION OF BUILDING PERMITS**

REVIEW REPORT

For sections A & B, please tick a number from 0 to 5, where 0 = strongly disagree and 5 = strongly agree.

A. Technical aspects

- | | | | | | | |
|--|-------|-------|-------|-------|-----|---|
| 1. The paper is within the scope of the Journal. | ... 0 | ... 1 | ... 2 | ... 3 | V 4 | 5 |
| 2. The paper is original. | ... 0 | ... 1 | ... 2 | ... 3 | V 4 | 5 |
| 3. The paper is free of technical errors. | ... 0 | ... 1 | ... 2 | ... 3 | V 4 | 5 |

B. Communications aspects

- | | | | | | | |
|--|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|
| 1. The paper is clearly readable. | ... 0 | ... 1 | ... 2 | ... 3 | ... 4 | V5 |
| 2. The figures are clear & do clearly convey the intended message. | ... 0 | ... 1 | ... 2 | ... 3 | 4 | ... 5 |
| 3. The length of the paper is appropriate. | ... 0 | ... 1 | ... 2 | ... 3 | V 4 | 5 |

C. Comments to the authors (You may use another sheet of paper.)

The three aspects are outlined here below:

First, the introduction includes some definitions and previous works.

I suggest introducing the motivation of the work and the framework in which it has been carried out (without going into excessive details) in this section, and include the objectives of the work clearly. I would create another section to focus on explaining other similar experiences and related studies that will allow the authors to contrast the results. Theoretical framework could be grouped in a section.

Secondly, a Methodology section should be included. Population under study, context, instruments and analysis strategy should be specified.

Finally, in "Conclusions" section, authors should mention the achievement or not of the objectives, link the findings and contrast with previous works as well as pose pros and cons of the methodology used. In addition, future lines of research could be included.

D. Recommendation (Tick one)

- | | |
|--------------------------------------|-----|
| 1. Accepted without modifications. | ... |
| 2. Accepted with minor corrections. | V |
| 3. Accepted with major modification. | ... |
| 4. Rejected. | ... |

If you have any questions, please do not hesitate to contact with us.

Sincerely,

Vivian Sheng



Vivian Sheng

On behalf of,
The Editorial Board of *JOURNAL OF POLITICS AND LAW*
Canadian Center of Science and Education